IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE TWENTY NINETH DAY OF SEPTEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE DR JUSTICE G.YETHIRAJULU

-

WRIT PETITION NO : 17442 of 2004

Between:

1. G. Mohan Reddy, S/o. Sri Gopal Reddy,
   R/o. H.No. 6-9-71/1, New Raghavendra Colony, Nalgonda.
2. B. Venkataiah S/o. Sri Ramachandraiah,
   R/o. H.No.6-9-71/1, New Raghavendra Colony, Nalgonda.
3. A. Anji Reddy,
   R/o.H.No. Narketpally, Nalgonda District.
4. B. Narasimha,
   R/o. Devarkonda road, Nalgonda.

..... PETITIONERS

AND

1 APSRTC, rep. by its Managing Director.
2 The Regional Manager, Nalgonda.
3 The Regional Manager, R.R.District.

..... RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue a Writ of Mandamus, or any other appropriate writ, order or direction, declaring the action of the respondent corporation in transferring the petitioners vide proceedings No.P2/813(3)/98 RM:N, dated 28.10.1998 from Ranga Reddy region to Nalgonda region on the basis of foregoing seniority as illegal and arbitrary and further direct the respondent corporation to restore the seniority of the petitioners by taking into account their service in Ranga Reddy region or in alternative transfer them back to Ranga Reddy region and restore the seniority for which they are willing.

Counsel for the Petitioners: MR.C.RAGHU

Counsel for the Respondents: MR.V.T.M.PRASAD

(S.C.FOR APSRTC)

The Court at the stage of admission made the following

ORDER:

The petitioners are working in the respondent-A.P. State Road Transport Corporation and on their request, the second respondent passed transfer orders on 28-10-1998 and the said orders were also implemented. The petitioners now come forward with this Writ Petition challenging the transfer orders of the second respondent dated 28-10-1998 on the ground that they are willing to come back to previous region if the seniority is restored.

The transfer orders were issued at the request of the petitioners themselves and after long lapse of six years, they approached this Court without any immediate cause of action and the writ petition also suffers from latches of delay. Hence, the impugned order does not suffer from any infirmity or illegality. Therefore, the writ petition cannot be maintained.

Accordingly, the Writ Petition is dismissed. No costs.

Dr.G.Yethirajulu, J

29th September, 2004

lsn

To

1 The Managing Director, APSRTC.
2 The Regional Manager, Nalgonda.
3 The Regional Manager, R.R.District.

4. Two CD copies.